



PCT

REC'D 3 0 AUG 2004

PCT

WIPO "

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
PCT 21126Y International application No.	International filing date (day/mor						
		Trionty date (adymontal year)					
PCT/US03/21726 International Patent Classification (IPC)	14 July 2003 (14.07.2003)	17 July 2002 (17.07.2002)					
IPC(7): C12Q 1/68, 1/02, 1/18; C12N 1/ Applicant	/20, 15/00; C07H 21/04 and US C	1.: 435/5, 29, 32, 172.3, 252.3, 320.1; 536/24.5					
присан							
MERCK & CO., INC.							
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total ofsheets.							
3. This report contains indica	tions relating to the following i	formar					
	nons remains to the following i	cens.					
I Basis of the repo	ort						
II Priority							
III Non-establishme	nt of report with regard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention							
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial							
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
VI Certain documents cited							
VII Certain defects in the international application							
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report					
	Date	or completion or any report					
10 February 2004 (10.02.2004)		gust 2004 (10.08.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized officer					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		rized officer For E. Graser Fance Ford					
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450							
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		tone No. 571-272-1600					



ł	International	ation No.
	PCT/US03/21726	

I.	Bas	is of the report			
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*			
	X	the international application as originally filed.			
	\boxtimes	the description:			
		pages 1-25 as originally filed			
		pages NONE , filed with the demand			
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of			
	\boxtimes	the claims:			
		pages 26-32 , as originally filed			
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE, filed with the demand			
		pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of			
	X	the drawings:			
	 X	pages 1-6, as originally filed			
		pages NONE , filed with the demand			
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of			
	\Box	the sequence listing part of the description:			
		pages NONE , as originally filed			
		pages NONE , filed with the demand			
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of			
2.	With	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the			
	langt	age in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:			
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).			
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).			
3.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the			
:	international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
contained in the international application in printed form.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.			
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
	_				
		the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE			
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE			
5 . [\neg .	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go			
* R	,	peyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**			
uus i	героп	ement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in tas "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). Indicate the placement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.			



International properties No. PCT/US03/2

1. STATEMENT Novelty (N) Claims 1-31 YE Claims NONE NO Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-31 YE Claims NONE NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-31 YE	V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims 1-31 YE Claims NONE NO 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.					-			
Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims 1-31 YE Claims NONE NO 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-31	YES				
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-31 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.				NO				
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-31 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.					į			
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims NONE NO Claims 1-31 YE Claims NONE NO Claims 1-31 NONE NO Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.	Inventive Step (IS)			YES	ĺ			
Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.		Claims	NONE	NO				
Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-31	YES				
Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for testing whether a substance is or contains a cell growth inhibitor that acts by selectively inhibiting the function of a gene product required for cellular growth or survival comprising the method set forth in steps (A)-(C) of claim 1, nor does the prior teach or suggest the methods set forth in independent claims 15 or 26.				NO				
	Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article testing whether a substance is or contains a cell grow required for cellular growth or survival comprising to suggest the methods set forth in independent claims to the control of the control o	th inhibitor the method set 15 or 26.	at acts by selectively inhibiting the function of	of a gene product				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)